



Pinal County Corridors Definition Study

Working Paper No. 2 – Evaluation of Planning-Level Corridor Definition Alternatives

ADOT Project No. T04-49-P0001
ADOT Purchase Order No. PGKG 2465

Prepared by:



In association with:
Cambridge Systematics, Inc.

December 5, 2005
091374010

Copyright © 2005, Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Evaluation of Planning-Level Corridor Definition Alternatives

1. INTRODUCTION	1
 1.1 Background Information	1
 1.2 Needs and Feasibility Evaluation Process.....	2
1.2.1 <i>Needs Evaluation Criteria</i>	2
1.2.2 <i>Feasibility Evaluation Overview.....</i>	3
2. CORRIDORS NEEDS ANALYSIS	5
 2.1 Pinal County Planning Model.....	5
2.1.1 <i>2030 Base Future Network</i>	7
2.1.2 <i>2030 Enhanced Future Network.....</i>	7
2.1.3 <i>2030 Southeast Maricopa/Northern Pinal Transportation Study (SEMNPTS) Corridors Network</i>	8
2.1.4 <i>2030 Corridor Concept Network</i>	8
2.1.5 <i>2030 Corridor Concept Network (Plus State Highway Improvements).....</i>	8
 2.2 Needs Analysis Findings.....	12
2.2.1 <i>Needs Analysis Findings for North-South Corridor</i>	17
2.2.2 <i>Needs Analysis Findings for East-West Corridor.....</i>	17
2.2.3 <i>High-Capacity Transit</i>	18
 2.3 2030 Corridor Concept.....	24
 2.4 2030 Corridor Concept (Plus State Highway Improvements)	25
 2.5 Regional Traffic Performance	30
2.5.1 <i>Mobility.....</i>	30
2.5.2 <i>Accessibility</i>	31
2.5.3 <i>Safety.....</i>	35
2.5.4 <i>Resource Conservation</i>	36
2.5.5 <i>Environmental Justice.....</i>	36
3. CORRIDORS FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS	37
 3.1 Evaluation Criteria	37
3.1.1 <i>Physical and Engineering Criteria</i>	37
3.1.2 <i>Social and Environmental Criteria</i>	37
3.1.3 <i>Land-use Compatibility Criteria.....</i>	38
3.1.4 <i>Jurisdictional, Stakeholder, and Public Perspectives.....</i>	38
 3.2 North-South Corridor Definition Development.....	38
3.2.1 <i>Review of Existing and Future Study Area Conditions.....</i>	38
3.2.2 <i>Jurisdictional, Stakeholder, and Public Perspectives.....</i>	44
3.2.2.1 <i>City of Apache Junction</i>	44
3.2.2.2 <i>Arizona State Land Department</i>	45
3.2.2.3 <i>City of Casa Grande</i>	46
3.2.2.4 <i>City of Chandler</i>	46
3.2.2.5 <i>City of Coolidge</i>	46
3.2.2.6 <i>City of Eloy</i>	47
3.2.2.7 <i>Town of Florence</i>	48
3.2.2.8 <i>Town of Gilbert</i>	48
3.2.2.9 <i>Pinal County</i>	49
3.2.2.10 <i>Town of Queen Creek</i>	50
3.2.2.11 <i>Salt River Project.....</i>	50



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Evaluation of Planning-Level Corridor Definition Alternatives

3.2.2.12	Valley Metro	51
3.2.12.13	Pinal County Elected Officials Rural Consultation.....	52
3.2.13	<i>Alternative Corridor Definitions.</i>	54
3.3	Engineering Opportunities and Constraints	55
3.3.1	<i>Drainage</i>	55
3.3.1.1	Major Basin Description	55
A.	Drainage Facilities	55
B.	Dams and Reservoirs	56
C.	Other Infrastructure.....	57
3.3.1.2	Drainage Issues	57
A.	Regional Hydrology.....	57
B.	Localized Flooding	57
C.	Scour, Sediment, and Erosion.....	58
D.	Emergency Spillway Discharges	58
E.	FEMA Regulation Floodplains	58
F.	Other Drainage Resources	58
3.3.1.3	Drainage Conclusions.....	59
3.3.2	<i>Land Subsidence and Fissures.....</i>	59
3.3.3	<i>The Central Arizona Project Canal</i>	60
3.3.4	<i>SRP500 kV Line</i>	61
3.3.5	<i>Shared Use Paths and Trails</i>	61
3.3.6	<i>Shared CAP/SRP 500 kV Line/Trails/North-South Corridor.....</i>	62
3.3.6	<i>Gila River Crossing</i>	62
3.3.7	<i>Right-of-Way Requirements</i>	62
3.3.7	<i>Summary of Engineering Opportunities and Constraints</i>	63
3.4	Social and Environmental Opportunities and Constraints.....	70
3.4.1	<i>Environmental Conditions Study Area.....</i>	70
3.4.2	<i>Socioeconomic Conditions.....</i>	72
3.4.2.1	Race and Population.....	72
3.4.2.2	Title VI/Environmental Justice Populations.....	72
3.4.3.3	Existing Socioeconomic Environment Conclusions	73
3.4.3	<i>Natural Environment</i>	77
3.4.3.1	Biotic Communities.....	77
3.4.3.2	Wildlife.....	77
3.4.3.3	Special Status Species and Critical Habitat.....	77
3.4.3.5	Agricultural Lands.....	78
3.4.4	<i>Visual Character.....</i>	80
3.4.4.1	Noxious Weeds	80
3.4.4.2	Water Resources.....	80
3.4.5	<i>Air Quality Analysis.....</i>	81
3.4.5.1	Nonattainment Areas	82
3.4.5.2	Conformity	83
3.4.6	<i>Noise</i>	83
3.4.7	<i>Hazardous Materials</i>	84
3.4.7.1	Underground Storage Tanks.....	85
3.4.7.2	Leaking Underground Storage Tanks.....	85
3.4.7.3	Hazardous Material Incident Logbook.....	85
3.4.7.4	Superfund Sites	85
3.4.7.5	Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities	85



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Evaluation of Planning-Level Corridor Definition Alternatives

3.4.7.6	Other Environmental Sites	85
3.4.8	<i>Section 4(f) of the Transportation Act.....</i>	85
3.4.10	<i>Cultural Resources.....</i>	86
3.4.11	<i>Summary of Environmental Opportunities and Constraints.....</i>	87
3.5	Land-Use Compatibility Opportunities and Constraints.....	91
3.5.1	<i>Land Jurisdiction and Ownership.....</i>	91
3.5.2	<i>Existing Land Use</i>	91
3.5.3	<i>Summary of Land Use and Local Jurisdiction Perspectives Opportunities and Constraints</i>	92
4.	CORRIDOR DEFINITION.....	94
4.1	Description of Preliminary North-South Corridor Definition.....	94
4.2	Estimate of Probable Cost.....	99
4.2.1	<i>Planning, Engineering, and Construction Costs.....</i>	99
4.2.2	<i>Right-of-Way Acquisition Costs</i>	100
5.	CORRIDOR DEVELOPMENT	102
5.1	Steps Required for Pinal Corridors Development	102
5.2	Funding Options	102
5.2.1	<i>State Funding Sources</i>	103
5.2.2	<i>Federal Funding Sources.....</i>	103
5.2.3	<i>Local Funding Sources</i>	104
5.2.4	<i>Other Funding Sources</i>	104
5.2.5	<i>Financing Options</i>	105
5.3	Review of Arizona Statues, ADOT Policies, and System Criteria for State Highway System Designation.....	105
5.3.1	<i>Arizona Revised Statutes.....</i>	106
5.3.1.1	<i>State Highway and State Route Definition.....</i>	106
5.3.1.2	<i>Responsibility of the State Transportation Board to Designate a State Highway</i>	107
5.3.1.3	<i>Process of Designating a State Highway</i>	107
5.3.2	<i>State Transportation Board Policies.....</i>	108
5.3.3	<i>State Highway Criteria from ADOT Route Transfer and Level of Development Study</i>	109
5.3.4	<i>Summary of Criteria for a State Highway Designation</i>	110
6.	PROCESS FOR CORRIDOR DEFINITION RECOMMENDATIONS.....	113
APPENDIX A.....	114	
Appendix A-1	Corridor Definition Studies Needs Analysis ‘What-if’ Scenarios	114
APPENDIX B – ENVIRONMENTAL OVERVIEW SUPPORTING MATERIALS	115	
Appendix B-1	Environmental Databases Search Results	116
Appendix B-2	Listed and Proposed species that may occur in Pinal County, Arizona	122
Appendix B-3	– Environmental Documentation References	124
APPENDIX C – CORRIDOR DEFINITION STUDY PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS	126	



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Evaluation of Planning-Level Corridor Definition Alternatives

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1 – Apache Junction/Coolidge, and East Valley corridors as proposed by the Southeast Maricopa and Northern Pinal County Transportation Study.....	4
Figure 2-1 – 2030 Base Future Network	9
Figure 2-2 – 2030 Enhanced Future Network	10
Figure 2-3 – 2030 SEMNPTS Corridors Network	11
Figure 2-4 – 2030 Base Future Network Traffic Volumes.....	14
Figure 2-5 – 2030 SEMNPTS Corridors Network Traffic Volumes.....	15
Figure 2-6 – SEMNPTS Study Corridors Segments	16
Figure 2-7 – Needs Analysis Overview.....	23
Figure 2-8 – 2030 Corridor Concept Network	26
Figure 2-9 – 2030 Corridor Concept Network Traffic Volumes.....	27
Figure 2-10 – 2030 Corridor Concept (Plus State Highway Improvements) Network	28
Figure 2-11 – 2030 Corridor Concept (Plus State Highway Improvements) Network Traffic Volumes.	29
Figure 2-12 – Distribution of Activity and Selected Activity Centers	32
Figure 2-13 – 30-Minute Accessibility Bands by Scenario (Williams Gateway)	33
Figure 2-14 – 30-Minute Accessibility Bands by Scenario (Apache Junction)	34
Figure 3-1 – Major Infrastructure and Utilities	40
Figure 3-2 – Land Ownership	41
Figure 3-3 – Existing and Future Master Planned Communities	42
Figure 3-4 – Study Area Relief and Topography	43
Figure 3-5 – Drainage Features	66
Figure 3-6 – Water Table Declination and Areas of High Concentrations of Fissures	67
Figure 3-7 – Approved Route for SRP 500 kV Line.....	68
Figure 3-8 – Existing ADOT Right-of-Way	69
Figure 3-9 – Environmental Feasibility Study Area.....	71
Figure 3-10a – Socioeconomic Data/Census Tract Information (Race).....	75
Figure 3-10b – Socioeconomic Data/Census Tract Information (Age, Poverty, Disabled)	76
Figure 3-11 – Natural Vegetation.....	79
Figure 3-12 – Cultural Resources.....	90
Figure 4-1 – Corridor Definiton, Land Ownership	95
Figure 4-2 – Corridor Definition, Existing/Future Master Planned Communities	96
Figure 4-3 – Corridor Definition, Drainage and Major Utilities	97
Figure 4-4 – Corridor Definition, Cultural Resources.....	98

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1 – Needs Analysis Scenarios	5
Table 2-2 – Lanes and Facility Level Assignments by Corridor Segment.....	12
Table 2-3 – Needs Analysis Summary: Apache Junction/Coolidge Corridor.....	20
Table 2-4 – Needs Analysis Summary: East Valley Corridor.....	22
Table 2-5 – Corridor Concept Segment Descriptions	24
Table 2-6 – Mobility Performance Measures by Scenario	31
Table 2-7 – Trips within 15-Minute Time Band for Each Activity.....	35
Center and Scenario.....	35
Table 2-8 – Safety Performance Measures by Scenario.....	35



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Evaluation of Planning-Level Corridor Definition Alternatives

Table 2-9 – Resource Conservation Performance Measures by Scenario	36
Table 3-1 – Summary of Engineering Opportunities and Constraints	64
Table 3-2 – 2000 Population and Racial Demographics	74
Table 3-3 – Age 60 Years and Over, Below Poverty Level, and Female Head of Household Populations	74
Table 3-4 – Noise Abatement Criteria.....	84
Table 3-5 – Summary of Environmental/Social Opportunities and Constraints	89
Table 3-6 – Summary of Land-use and Local Jurisdictions Opportunities and Constraints	92
Table 4-1 – Estimate of Probable Cost by Source.....	100
Table 4-2 – Potential right-of-way costs (in 2004 land values)	101
Table 5-1 – State Highway Criteria from Route Transfer and Level of Development Study	110
Table 5-2 – North-South Corridor Criteria Satisfaction for Designation as State Highway	111
Table A-1 – 2030 Needs Analysis Modeling “What-if” Scenarios.....	114
Table B-1 – Underground Storage Tanks (UST) Database Search Results	116
Table B-2 – Leaking Underground Storage Tanks (LUST) Database Search Results.....	119
Table B-3 – Incident Logbook Database Search Results	120
Table B-4 – Listed and Proposed species that may occur in Pinal County, Arizona	122